

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: SHIMIZU et al.

Examiner Carrie Thompson

Application No. 09/827,346

Group Art Unit 1774

Filed: April 6, 2001

For: FLAME RETARDING POLYPROPYLENE FIBER AND
PRODUCTION METHOD THEREOF AND FLAME
RETARDING POLYPROPYLENE FILM

REQUEST TO RE-SET RESPONSE DATE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED
OCT 22 2002
TC-1700 MAIL ROOM


Please re-set the response date for the requirement for restriction because the Patent Office illegally, erroneously mailed paper number 7 to a law firm that never had a power of attorney to prosecute this application.

The Applicants herein completed Rule 53 Requirements and appointed the undersigned and the attorneys associated with Customer No. 22242. See, e.g., Oath and Declaration filed herein on July 31, 2001. Applicants' lawfully appointed counsel had filed an address change on May 22, 2001.

This action lacks factual or legal basis. Why did the Patent Office violate the sanctity of Applicants' Oath and Declaration?

Respectfully submitted,

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY

By: 

10/2/2002

FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY
120 South LaSalle Street - Suite 1600
Chicago, Illinois 60603-3406

Kendrew H. Colton
Registration No. 30,368
Telephone: (202) 419-7000
Facsimile: (202) 419-7007